

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2014-000560-001 DT

11/14/2014

COMM. JEFFREY RUETER

CLERK OF THE COURT  
A. Schmidt  
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

JOHN NELSON SCHNEIDER

v.

PHOETEAMA JANIECE NEAL (001)

GERALD M BRADLEY

INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

9:12 a.m.

Courtroom CCB 1003

State's Attorney:	John Schneider
Defendant's Attorney:	Gerald Bradley
Defendant:	Present

A record of the proceedings is made by audio and/or videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

The Court has conducted an initial pretrial conference (IPTC) this date.

Based upon the avowals made to the Court by the parties, the Court finds/orders as follows:

The Court finds that the State did not comply with Rule 15.1(a) as previously ordered by the Court.

The State has not complied with all discovery under Rule 15.1(b).

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2014-000560-001 DT

11/14/2014

IT IS ORDERED the State shall file 15.1(a) and 15.1(b) disclosures by 11/21/2014.

The Defense has not complied with discovery.

IT IS ORDERED the Defense shall file 15.2(b) and 15.2(c) disclosures by 12/1/2014.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the State and the Defendant shall comply with Rule 15.1(e) and Rule 15.2(e) within 25 days of the IPTC.

Any request to extend the deadline set this date must be done pursuant to Rule 15.6(d). Failure to request an extension may result in the preclusion of the evidence.

IT IS ORDERED directing counsel to set up and participate in a settlement conference prior to the plea cut off date. The settlement conference shall be scheduled prior to the Comprehensive Pretrial Conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 17.4(a) that counsel with authority to settle the case shall participate in a good faith discussion with the settlement court regarding a non-jury or no-trial resolution which conforms to the interests of justice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel for Defendant shall conduct a conflicts check for all witnesses listed by the State, and if necessary counsel for Defendant shall file a Motion to Withdraw.

A Complex Case Scheduling Conference is set for 1/12/2015 at 8:30 a.m. before Judge Mullins.

Last Day: 6/19/2015

A DEFENDANT'S FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE COMPREHENSIVE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE OR THE TRIAL MAY RESULT IN A BENCH WARRANT BEING ISSUED FOR HIS OR HER ARREST AND THE CPC AND TRIAL BEING CONDUCTED IN THE DEFENDANT'S ABSENCE.

THE TRIAL DATE SHALL NOT BE CONTINUED UNLESS A WRITTEN MOTION TO CONTINUE IS FILED AT LEAST 5 DAYS BEFORE THE TRIAL. A CONTINUANCE WILL NOT BE GRANTED UNLESS THE MOTION SHOWS THAT EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST. (Rule 8.5, Rules of Criminal Procedure and guidelines thereto.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2014-000560-001 DT

11/14/2014

Defendants seeking reconsideration rulings of the Court on a Motion to Modify Release conditions or a Motion for Rule 11, said motion shall be done by a Motion for Reconsideration. All Motions for Reconsideration, however denominated, shall be submitted without oral argument or without response or reply. No Motion for Reconsideration shall be granted without the Court granting an opportunity for a Response.

IT IS ORDERED affirming prior custody orders.

9:13 a.m. Matter concludes.

This case is eFiling eligible: <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/efiling/default.asp>. Attorneys are encouraged to review Supreme Court Administrative Order 2011-140 to determine their mandatory participation in eFiling through AZTurboCourt.

NOTICE: IF AN INTERPRETER IS NEEDED FOR A VICTIM OR A WITNESS FOR ANY HEARING, IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF COUNSEL TO NOTIFY THE COURT BEFORE WHICH THE HEARING IS SCHEDULED 48 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE HEARING. (TEN BUSINESS DAYS FOR ANY LANGUAGE OTHER THAN SPANISH).